

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/17/2000 09/688,863 Hisato Yoshii Q61364 2476 7590 08/27/2003 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS EXAMINER 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., GOODMAN, CHARLES Washington, DC 20037 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 7		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/688,863	YOSHII, HISATO
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
r		Charles Goodman	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	August 2003 .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-6 and 8-19</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 4-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
(a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
l	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notion 1 Notion 2) Information 1	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and PTOL-326 (F	Trademark Office Rev. 04-01) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No. 13

Application/Control Number: 09/688,863

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on July 9, 2003 has been entered.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 9, 2003 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Upon reconsideration, claim 5 has been rejoined since the claim includes subject matter deemed to be generic to the elected Species, the election being made **without** traverse in Paper No. 4. Moreover, newly submitted claims 18 and 19, which would have been withdrawn if the Examiner maintained the restriction requirement with respect to claim 5, are also deemed to be generic to the elected Species. Thus, claims 5, 18, and 19 are fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104 and the withdrawal of consideration of claim 5 in the previous Office Action is hereby withdrawn under 37 CFR 1.142.

Application/Control Number: 09/688,863

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 2, 4-6, and 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witjes in view of Neal.

Witjes discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a retaining mechanism (28). However, it appears that Witjes lacks a level adjusting mechanism for the table (3). In that regard, Neal teaches a support table (D) having a level adjusting mechanism (e.g., d, d¹) that adjusts relative position between the between the support table and the rotary cutters (C, C) in the vertical direction for the purpose of maintaining a desired depth of cut for the rotary cutters depending on the thickness of the material being cut. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the device of Witjes with the level adjusting mechanism as taught and suggested by Neal for the reasons stated *supra*.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 4-6, and 8-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 3724

308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

cg // August 25, 2003